

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/614,817 07/09/2003		Cheol-Ho Lee	1572.1139	8005		
21171	7590	08/14/2006		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP				WALTER, CRAIG E		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	ORK AV	VENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2188	- <del></del>	
				DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/614,817	LEE, CHEOL-HO
Examiner	Art Unit
Craig E. Walter	2188

	Craig E. Walter	2188	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	within the time period set forth in 3	37 CFR 41.37(a).	
AMENDMENTS	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con</li> </ol>			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	TE BCIOW),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		-	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	A days NOT along the conficetion !		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	•		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(P10/SB/08 or P10-1449) Paper N	vo(s). <u>6/19/06; //19/0</u>	<u>6</u>
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	sull S. Brugan		•
1/20	PECINAL P. PRACECOL	11	>
e in	REGINALD BRAGDON	Craig E Walter	
•	ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER ECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100	Examiner AU 2188	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

**Application No. 10/614,817** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment filed on 19 July 2006 will not be entered because further search and consideration is required (See MPEP section 714.13- heading II "Entry not a matter of right") "It should be kept in mind that applicant cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims ...".

Continuation of 13. Other: The IDS submitted on 19 June 2006 was fully considered, as Applicant satisfied the requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(d) and 1.97(e). As for the IDS submitted on 19 July 2006, Applicant failed to meet the requirements set forth in 37 CFR 1.97(e) therefore the IDS has not been considered by the Examiner. See also MPEP section 609.05(a), and paragraph 2 of Examiner's previous Office action (mailed on 19 April 2006) for further explanation.